

## 8 Wavelets (2)

Monday, March 2, 2020 10:42 PM

Since it came up last class that not everyone is familiar with Nyquist, let's start with a brief detour into signal processing.

### Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem

If a function  $x(t)$  contains no frequencies  $B$  Hz or higher, it is completely determined by giving its ordinates at a series of points spaced  $\frac{1}{2B}$  seconds apart.

[Kotelnikov, 1933] [Nyquist, 1928]

[E.T. Whittaker, 1915] [Shannon, 1948]

[J.M. Whittaker, 1935] [Gabor, 1946]

proof. Let  $X(\omega)$  be the spectrum of  $x(t)$ .

$$\text{Then } x(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2\pi B}^{2\pi B} X(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega$$

because  $X(\omega) = 0$  outside the band  $|\frac{\omega}{2\pi}| < B$ .

Let  $t = \frac{n}{2B}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\text{Then } \underbrace{x\left(\frac{n}{2B}\right)}_{\text{Sampling } x \text{ at } t = \frac{n}{2B}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2\pi B}^{2\pi B} X(\omega) e^{i\omega \cdot \frac{n}{2B}} d\omega.$$

Sampling  $x$  at

$$t = \frac{n}{2B}$$

Recall the Fourier series of a <sup>periodic</sup> function  $f(y)$  is given by

$$f(y) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n \cdot e^{i \cdot \frac{2\pi n y}{P}}, \text{ where } P \text{ is the period of } f(y)$$

$$c_n = \frac{1}{P} \int_P f(y) e^{-i \cdot \frac{2\pi n y}{P}} dy.$$

$$\text{Let } P = 4\pi B, \quad c_n = \frac{1}{4\pi B} \int_{-2\pi B}^{2\pi B} f(y) e^{-iy \cdot \frac{n}{2B}} dy.$$

Thus, the RHS above is precisely coefficients of the Fourier series expansion of  $X(\omega)$  when taken as a  $4B$ -periodic function.

Now, the FT above is precisely coefficients of the Fourier series expansion of  $X(\omega)$  when taken as a  $4B$ -periodic function.

$\Rightarrow X(\omega)$  is completely determined by the sampling at  $t = \frac{n}{2B}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for  $\omega \in [-2B, 2B]$ , and  $X(\omega) = 0$  outside that interval.

Hence, we know  $X(\omega)$  after sampling.

But  $x(t)$  is completely determined by its Fourier transform  $X(\omega)$ .

$\Rightarrow x(t)$  is completely determined by taking samples spaced  $\frac{1}{2B}$  sec apart.



Not proved: Let  $x_n$  be the  $n$ th sample.

here

$$x(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n \frac{\sin[\pi(2Bt - n)]}{\pi(2Bt - n)}.$$

## Wavelet systems

The Haar wavelet was built from a scale function  $\phi(x)$  that satisfies the dilation equation  $\phi(x) = \phi(2x) + \phi(2x-1)$ .

Consider a scale function solving a more general dilation equation.

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} c_k \phi(2x-k).$$

And let  $\phi_{j,k}(x) = \phi(2^j x - k)$ .

Let  $V_j = \text{span} \{ \phi_{j,k} \}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Then  $\phi_{j,k} \in V_{j+1}$ , so  $V_0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \dots$

So we still have the nice property where each successive set of finer-grained  $\phi_{j,k}$  spans the coarser-resolution span before it.

We will show that it is in general possible to build a wavelet system of orthonormal bases out of a scaling function.

$$\sum_2$$

## Solving a dilation equation

Easy to check a solution  $\phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_k \phi(2x-k)$ .

Harder to find a solution.

Lemma:  $\phi(x)$  has support  $[0, d-1]$  (if it has finite support)

proof. Say  $\phi(x)$  has finite support  $[A, B]$ .

Then  $\phi(2x)$  has support  $[\frac{A}{2}, \frac{B}{2}] \subseteq [A, B]$ .

$$\Rightarrow A = 0.$$

Then  $\phi(2x - (d-1))$  has support  $[\frac{d-1}{2}, \frac{d-1}{2} + \frac{B}{2}] \subseteq [0, B]$

$$\Rightarrow B = d-1.$$



Note,  $\phi(2x-k)$  has support  $[\frac{k}{2}, \frac{d-1}{2} + \frac{k}{2}]$ .

## Cascade algorithm

Define an operator  $\mathcal{V}$  by

$$(\mathcal{V}f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_k f(2x-k).$$

[Malone, PhD thesis, 2000]

Then we clearly are looking for a fixed point of this operator.

Let  $f$  be a compactly supported solution on  $[0, N]$ . i.e.  $\sum_{k=0}^N c_k f(2x-k)$

$$\text{Then } (\mathcal{V}f)(0) = c_0 f(0)$$

$$(\mathcal{V}f)(1) = c_2 f(0) + c_1 f(1) + c_0 f(2)$$

$$(\mathcal{V}f)(2) = c_4 f(0) + c_3 f(1) + c_2 f(2) + c_1 f(3) + c_0 f(4)$$

$\vdots$

$$(\mathcal{V}f)(N-1) = c_N g(N-2) + c_{N-1} g(N-1) + c_{N-2} g(N)$$

$$(\mathcal{V}f)(N) = c_N g(N)$$



Because of the supports of each  $f(2x-k)$ .

So let  $\vec{g} = [f(0), f(1), \dots, f(N)]^T$

Then  $\vec{g} = M\vec{g}$ , where the matrix  $M$  is the given by  $(\mathcal{V}f)$  above.

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} c_0 & & & & & & & & 0 \\ c_2 & c_1 & c_0 & & & & & & & 0 \\ c_4 & c_3 & c_2 & c_1 & c_0 & & & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & & c_N & c_{N-1} & c_{N-2} & \\ & & & & & & & & c_N & \end{bmatrix}$$

$M$  under certain conditions has a nice spectral gap, so this iteration converges rapidly to an approximation  $\tilde{g}$  of  $f(x)$ .

### Alternate solution by increasing resolution

Consider  $\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \phi(2x) + \phi(2x-1) + \frac{1}{2} \phi(2x-2)$  with support  $[0, 2]$ .

$$\phi(0) = \frac{1}{2} \phi(0) + \phi(-1) + \frac{1}{2} \phi(-2) = \frac{1}{2} \phi(0) + 0 + 0 \Rightarrow \phi(0) = 0$$

$$\phi(2) = \frac{1}{2} \phi(4) + \phi(3) + \frac{1}{2} \phi(2) = \frac{1}{2} \phi(2) \Rightarrow \phi(2) = 0$$

$$\phi(1) = \frac{1}{2} \phi(2) + \phi(1) + \frac{1}{2} \phi(0) = \phi(1) \Rightarrow \phi(1) \text{ arbitrary.}$$

Set  $\phi(1) = 1$ .

Makes sense because you can always scale.

Then

$$\phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \phi(1) + \phi(0) + \frac{1}{2} \phi(-1) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\phi\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \phi(3) + \phi(2) + \frac{1}{2} \phi(1) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\phi\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \phi\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \phi\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{4}$$

$\vdots$

Can compute any  $\phi\left(\frac{i}{2^j}\right)$  for larger values of  $j$  until we get desired accuracy.

If  $\phi(x)$  is simple, can then conjecture explicit form.

### Conditions on the dilation equation

## Conditions on the dilation equation

(Recall)

Lemma 11.1. If  $\phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_k \phi(2x-k)$ , then either  $\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_k = 2$  or  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx = 0$ .

Lemma 11.2. Let  $\phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_k \phi(2x-k)$ . If  $\phi(x)$  and  $\phi(x-k)$  are

orthogonal for  $k \neq 0$  and  $\phi(x)$  has been normalized so

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) \phi(x-k) dx = \delta(k), \text{ then } \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i c_{i-2k} = 2 \delta(k).$$

Where  $\delta(x)$  is the Kronecker delta  $\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x=0 \\ 0, & x \neq 0 \end{cases}$ .

proof.

$$\delta(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) \phi(x-k) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i \phi(2x-i) \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} c_j \phi(2x-2k-j) \right) dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} c_i c_j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(2x-i) \phi(2x-2k-j) dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} c_i c_j \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x-i) \phi(x-2k-j) dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} c_i c_j \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) \phi(x+i-2k-j) dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} c_i c_j \cdot \frac{1}{2} \delta(2k+j-i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i c_{i-2k}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i c_{i-2k} = 2 \delta(k).$$



This is a necessary but not sufficient condition for orthogonality.

Lemma 11.3 If  $[0, d-1)$  is the support of  $\phi(x)$ , and the set

of integer shifts,  $\{\phi(x-k), k \geq 0\}$  are linearly independent, then  $c_k = 0$  unless  $0 \leq k \leq d-1$

proof.

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \phi(2x-k). \text{ Note because } \phi(2x-k) \text{ are l.h. ind., the } c_k \text{ are unique}$$

If the support of  $\phi(x)$  is  $[0, d-1)$ , then support of  $\phi(2x)$  is  $[0, \frac{d-1}{2})$ .

So support of  $\phi(2x-k)$  is  $[\frac{k}{2}, \frac{k}{2} + \frac{d-1}{2})$

The support on the LHS and RHS have to be the same.

But since  $\phi(2x-k)$  are linearly ind.,  $c_k \phi(2x-k)$  for  $k \geq d$  cannot be "canceled out" by other terms in the summation if  $c_k \neq 0$ .

$$\text{So, } \phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_k \phi(2x-k)$$



Lemma:  $d$  is even.

Suppose  $d$  is odd.

By Lemma 11.2,  $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i c_{i-2k} = 0$  for  $k \neq 0$ .

Let  $k = \frac{d-1}{2}$ . Then  $0 = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i c_{i-d+1} = c_{d-1} c_0 \Rightarrow c_0$  or  $c_{d-1} = 0$ .

Then there are only  $d-1$  non-zero coefficients, so we can just shift so that  $d$  is even.



Thus, if a dilation equation has  $d$  terms with

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_k = 2, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i c_{i-2k} = 2\delta(k) \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq \frac{d-1}{2}, \text{ then for } d \geq 2,$$

there are  $\frac{d}{2} - 1$  coefficients we can use to define a wavelet system.

Note that these are still not sufficient conditions for an orthonormal basis, just a set of nice scale functions.

### Derivation of wavelets from scaling function

Let the "mother wavelet"  $\Psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} b_k \phi(2x-k)$ .

Let the "mother wavelet"  $\Psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} b_k \phi(2x-k)$ .

We want integer shifts of  $\Psi(x)$  to be orthogonal to each other and to the scaling function  $\phi(x)$ .

Lemma 11.5 (orthogonality of  $\phi(x)$  and  $\Psi(x-k)$ )

Let  $\phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_k \phi(2x-k)$  and  $\Psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} b_k \phi(2x-k)$ .

If  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) \phi(x-k) dx = \delta(k)$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) \Psi(x-k) dx = 0$  for all  $k$ ,

then  $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i b_{i-2k} = 0$  for all  $k$ .

proof.  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) \Psi(x-k) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i \phi(2x-i) \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} b_j \phi(2x-2k-j) dx = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} c_i b_j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(2x-i) \phi(2x-2k-j) dx = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} c_i b_j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(y) \phi(y-2k-j+i) dy = 0 \quad (y = 2x-i)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} c_i b_j \delta(2k+j-i) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i b_{i-2k} = 0$$



Lemma 11.4 Let  $\Psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} b_k \phi(2x-k)$ . If  $\Psi(x)$  and  $\Psi(x-k)$  are orthogonal for  $k \neq 0$  and  $\Psi(x)$  has been normalized so

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi(x) \Psi(x-k) dx = \delta(k), \text{ then } \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} b_i b_{i-2k} = 2\delta(k)$$

proof. Analogous to Lemma 11.2.

Lemma 11.6 If  $\langle \phi(x), \phi(x-k) \rangle = \delta(k)$   
 $\langle \phi(x), \Psi(x-k) \rangle = 0$  for all  $k$ ,

$$\text{then } b_k = (-1)^k c_{d-1-k}.$$

then  $b_k = (-1)^k c_{d-1-k}$ .

proof. By Lemma 11.5  $\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} c_j b_{j-2k} = 0$  for all  $k$ .

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} c_{2j} b_{2j-2k} + \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} c_{2j+1} b_{2j+1-2k} = 0.$$

By lemmas 11.2 and 11.4,  $\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} c_j c_{j-2k} = 2\delta(k)$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} b_j b_{j-2k} = 2\delta(k)$ .

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} c_{2j} c_{2j-2k} + \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} c_{2j+1} c_{2j+1-2k} = 2\delta(k)$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} b_{2j} b_{2j-2k} + \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} b_{2j+1} b_{2j+1-2k} = 2\delta(k)$$

Let  $C_e = (c_0, c_2, \dots, c_{d-2})$

$B_e = (b_0, b_2, \dots, b_{d-2})$

$C_o = (c_1, c_3, \dots, c_{d-1})$

$B_o = (b_1, b_3, \dots, b_{d-1})$

$$C_e * B_e^R = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} c_{2j} b_{2j}$$

$$C_o * B_o^R = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} c_{2j+1} b_{2j+1}$$

$$B_o * B_o^R = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} b_{2j+1} b_{2j+1}$$

$$\left( \begin{matrix} C_e & C_o \\ B_e & B_o \end{matrix} \right) * \left( \begin{matrix} C_e^R & B_e^R \\ C_o^R & B_o^R \end{matrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $C_{e,k} = (c_{2k}, \dots, c_{d-2})$

$B_{e,k} = (b_{2k}, \dots, b_{d-2})$

$C_{o,k} = (c_{2k+1}, \dots, c_{d-1})$

$B_{o,k} = (b_{2k+1}, \dots, b_{d-1})$

$C_{e,k}^R = (c_{d-2-2k}, \dots, c_0)$

...

Then

$$\left( \begin{matrix} C_{e,k} & C_{o,k} \\ B_{e,k} & B_{o,k} \end{matrix} \right) * \left( \begin{matrix} C_{e,k}^R & B_{e,k}^R \\ C_{o,k}^R & B_{o,k}^R \end{matrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\delta(k) & 0 \\ 0 & 2\delta(k) \end{pmatrix}$$

Take a <sup>discrete</sup> Fourier transform (thinking of this as functions of  $k$ )  
 $\mathcal{F}((c_{\dots}) \setminus (F(C_{e,k}^R) \ F(B_{e,k}^R))) \setminus (c_{\dots})$



Take a  $\checkmark$  Fourier transform (thinking of this as  $\text{Trunc}_{2\pi}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ )

$$\begin{pmatrix} F(C_{e,k}) & F(C_{o,k}) \\ F(B_{e,k}) & F(B_{o,k}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F(C_{e,k}^R) & F(B_{e,k}^R) \\ F(C_{o,k}^R) & F(B_{o,k}^R) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Taking a determinant,

$$(F(C_{e,k})F(B_{o,k}) - F(B_{e,k})F(C_{o,k})) (F(C_{e,k}^R)F(B_{o,k}^R) - F(C_{o,k}^R)F(B_{e,k}^R)) = 4$$

$$\Rightarrow F(C_{e,k})F(B_{o,k}) - F(C_{o,k})F(B_{e,k}) = 2$$

$$\Rightarrow C_{e,k} * B_{o,k} - C_{o,k} * B_{e,k} = 2\delta(k)$$

Dropping the 'k's for notational convenience

Convolution by  $C_e^R$  yields

$$C_e^R * C_e * B_o - C_e^R * B_e * C_o = C_e^R * 2\delta(k)$$

$$\text{Note } -C_e^R * B_e = C_o^R * B_o$$

$$\Rightarrow C_e^R * C_e * B_o + C_o^R * B_o * C_o = 2 C_e^R * \delta(k)$$

$$(C_e^R * C_e + C_o^R * C_o) * B_o = 2 C_e^R * \delta(k)$$

$$2\delta(k) * B_o = 2 C_e^R * \delta(k)$$

$$C_e^R = B_o$$

$$\Rightarrow c_i = b_{d-1-i} \text{ for even } i.$$

Similarly, convolution by  $C_o^R$  yields

$$-B_e = C_o^R$$

$$\Rightarrow c_i = -b_{d-1-i} \text{ for odd } i.$$

$$\Rightarrow c_i = (-1)^i \cdot b_{d-1-i} \text{ for all } i.$$



